

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,841	10/13/2000	James R. Lavoie	05954.0063-00000	6663	
22852 7	22852 7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSON, FAR	NGUYEN, KIM T			
LLP					
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3713		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/689,841	LAVOIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kim Nguyen	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 May 2005.				
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 H S C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight phonty under 33 0.3.0.	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bur		,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	ot received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:	 '			

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment on 5/9/05. According to the amendment, claims 1-36 are pending in the application.

The indicated allowable subject matter in claims 1-7, 22, 31-32 and 35-36 in the office action issued on 2/8/05 has been withdrawn due to newly found references of Yacenda (US. 2001/0003100) and Enzminger et al (US 6,358,151). Claims 1-36 are rejected as following:

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) In claim 1, line 3, the claimed "the game play" should be corrected to "a game play".
- b) In claim 23, line 3, the claimed "the patron, a patron identifier identifying a patron" should be corrected to "a patron, a patron identifier identifying the patron".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3713

Page 3

- 3. Claims 1, 8, 10, 22-23, 26-31, 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitations "before the game play begin", "during the game play", "without the game play" set forth in claims 1, 8, 10, 22-23, 26-31, 33-36 contain new matter. The specification and the claims as originally filed do not contain those features. It is requested applicant direct the examiner attention to the specific lines of the original disclosure where fill support of the claimed limitations may be found.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the second client terminal" in lines 2 and 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3713

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneier et al (US. 5,871,398) in view of Yacenda (US, 2001/0003100) and Enzminger et al (US. 6,358,151).

As per claim 1, 6-10, Schneier discloses a gaming method comprising receiving at a server a purchase request before the game play (col. 5, lines 56-67 and col. 6, lines 1-7); determining and storing the results of the game before the play has begun (col. 9, lines 35-39 and 57-67; and col. 10, lines 1-4). Schneier does not explicitly disclose adjusting an account of the player based on the results of the game before the play has begun, receiving a request from a second terminal to reveal the results of the game and sending the results to the second terminal. However, Yacenda discloses updating the player accounts responsive to the requests for a game and winning results based on the outcomes predetermined before the game has begun (paragraphs 0014 and 0016); and Enzminger discloses sending the results of the game to players according to the players' requests (col. 2, lines 45-53; col. 3, lines 10-20; and

Art Unit: 3713

col. 6, lines 57-59). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the account of the player based on the results of the game in the game in the game of Schneier as taught by Yacenda; and to send the results of the game to a second terminal in the game of Scheiner as taught by Enzminger in order to allow the player to monitor his current account's balance and to allow other players to view the game processed.

As per claim 2, Schneier discloses receiving a purchase amount (col. 9, line 67; and col. 10, lines 1-4).

As per claim 3-4 and 13-14, Schneier discloses including a purchase amount of "m" tickets and a denomination value represented through different price points (col. 17, lines 1-9).

As per claim 5 and 27, Schneier discloses including a plurality of agent terminals located on-site at retailers/merchants (col. 6, lines 5-7).

As per claim 11-12, Schneier discloses a player may communicate messages in response to suitable prompts/menu to purchase wagers/outcomes (col. 10, lines 33-55).

As per claim 15, Schneier discloses that a player account is debited by subtracting purchase amount from an account balance based on a purchase request or prize winning (col.19, lines 1-21 and col.20, lines 40-52).

Page 6

Art Unit: 3713

As per claim 16, since Schneier also discloses tracking player' data relating to the player and store it in a player database (col. 11, lines 51-56), Schneier obviously discloses storing player account data using the player identifier.

As per claim 17-18, Schneier discloses that communications between the AT/HTV terminals and the CMC can be accomplished through various types of interactive communication networks (col. 6, lines 26-27 and lines 32-41).

As per claim 19, Schneier discloses that a player can continue game play until player balance is equal to zero (col. 19, lines 16-21 and col. 20, lines 40-44).

As per claim 20-21, Schneier discloses an authentication data including biometric data such as fingerprints (col. 7, lines 48-67).

As per claim 22-36, refer to discussion in claims 1 and 20-21 above. Further, specifying a specific time to send the results of a game to a terminal would have been both well-known and obvious design choice.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3713

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number

is 571-272-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday

during business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on 571-272-7147. The

central official fax number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

kn

Date: July 14, 2005

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 3713